

| Assessment Methodology

| Civil Society Advisory Body Applications

All organisations were assessed based on the profiles of the Institution and Representatives:

	CRITERIA	EVALUATION QUESTION	WEIGHT
INSTITUTION PROFILE (45%)	1. Ideally have previous experience with and institutional expertise regarding banking and specifically responsible banking issues.	1. What is the Institution's level of experience with banks/banking ?	15%
	2. Be able and willing to commit the necessary resources to fulfil the outlined role and responsibilities and to contribute effectively to the tasks of this Body.	2. What is the Institution's level of expertise in sustainable finance & responsible banking issues?	30%
	3. Can read and communicate in English without a requirement for translation services.	3. Is the Institution able and willing to commit the necessary resources to fulfil the role and contribute effectively to the Body?	Yes/No
		4. Can the Institution communicate in English without a requirement for translation services?	Yes/No
REPRESENTATIVES PROFILE (10%)	1. Put forward a representative (and alternate) with a good understanding of banking-related issues.	1. Can the representative demonstrate his/her understanding of banking related issues?	8%
	2. Put forward a representative (and alternate) that can participate effectively in meetings held in English.	2. Can the representative participate effectively in meetings held in English?	Yes/No
		3. Can the alternate demonstrate his/her understanding of banking related issues?	2%
		4. Can the alternate participate effectively in meetings held in English?	Yes/No

Each organisation was also assessed using either Regional, Stakeholder or Thematic criteria

REGIONAL PROFILE (45%)	1. Able to represent and provide insights on regional environmental and social challenges and priority issues, as a consequence of the nature of their organization (e.g. membership organizations) or through relevant processes (e.g. consultation processes, research)	1. How well is the organization set up to through its organizational structure and/or relevant processes gather and represent regional views on environmental and social challenges and priority issues?	45%
	2. Able and willing to provide a reasonably balanced view of the sustainable development issues in their region and refrain from promoting particular political views.	2. Is the organization guided by particular political views?	Yes/No
STAKEHOLDER PROFILE (45%)	1. Can demonstrate that through their governance, membership or other relevant characteristics their organization is guided by the interest of the respective stakeholder group.	1. To what extent is the organization guided by the interest of the respective stakeholder group, through its governance, membership or other relevant characteristics?	30%
	2. Are at least regional, ideally global in scope.	2. Geographic scope of activities?	15%
THEMATIC PROFILE (45%)	1. Are leading organizations in the respective field and recognized in their community for either their role in tackling the most relevant issues in their field or for their outstanding subject-matter expertise.	1. To what degree does the organization have leading expertise in the specific thematic field? What is the organization's track record in providing leading expertise? What relevant initiatives, programs, research or standards is the organization leading/engaged in/managing? What is the level of specialized expertise in the organization's team(s)?	30%
		2. Geographic scope of activities?	15%
	2. Are at least regional, ideally global in scope.	3. Is the institution guided by particular political views?	Yes/No
	3. Able and willing to provide a reasonably balanced view of the sustainable development issues in their region and refrain from promoting particular political views.		